



The Nevada Assembly

Land and Soil Jurisdiction

1805 N. Carson Street #189, Carson City, Nevada 89701

To: Tamara Amaral

From: Michael T. Wilson, Militia Commander, The Nevada Assembly; and Louis Moglia, Chief Justice, The Nevada Assembly

Copies: Rockie Roper · Sue Hulsebus · Keith Hall · Doug Hulsebus · Anna Riezinger · Paul Pappas, Chief Continental Marshal · Joseph Hayse, PKTF · Jennifer Olsen, PKTF Liaison on Nevada

Date: July 1, 2026

Re: The “Order from the People of The Nevada Assembly” served June 28, 2026, and the votes of June 27, 2026

Tamara Amaral,

This letter is given for the record, in response to the document you served on June 28, 2026 purporting to remove the elected Militia Commander of The Nevada Assembly and to bar the Assembly’s seal and noticing authority. It is offered calmly and without rancor, and it is meant to set the record straight rather than to open a quarrel.

1. There is one Nevada Assembly, and its offices are filled by the People who constitute it.

The Nevada Assembly has been standing and in continuous operation since 2019 — more than seven years — with vetted members, recorded officials, and an unbroken documentary record. Its authority resides in the assembled People on Nevada and runs upward from them. No county group, no coordinator, and no national call holds governing authority over The Nevada Assembly or its elected officials.

2. We address only the overreach. The standing of the members on Clark County is not in question.

For roughly eighteen months a group on Clark County has met and conducted its own affairs. The standing Assembly did not interfere; counties order their own house, and that is as it should be. We respond now for one reason, and one only: the votes of June 27 and the “Order” of June 28 purport to exert authority over The Nevada Assembly and its elected Militia Commander. The right of anyone on Clark County to associate, to meet, and to run their own affairs is not challenged here. Only the act of reaching across that boundary is.

3. The June 27 votes and the June 28 “Order” are void from the outset.

A body that never seated an official cannot unseat him. The Militia Commander was elected and vetted by The Nevada Assembly on May 30, 2025 to a four-year term, and he answers to that Assembly alone. The June 27 gathering was a self-selected group of fourteen people, convened on a county call, with the standing Assembly and the general membership excluded — by the participants’ own acknowledgment on their own recording. An act taken by a body with no standing, in a meeting the membership could not enter, carries no force within our jurisdiction. What is void is not made valid by the number of hands raised. The only lawful removal of a Nevada Assembly official is by the Nevada Assembly that elected him, through its own process.

4. No appointment from any quarter could confer the authority your “Order” asserts.

The power to seat or to unseat an official of The Nevada Assembly rests with The Nevada Assembly and the People who constitute it — and nowhere else. It is not a power the Federation governs, or has ever claimed to hold, over a standing State Assembly; the Federation and its service bodies assist the standing of Assemblies, they do not rule them. No instrument, appointment, or commission from that quarter could place a coordinator above the People’s elected official, for the authority your “Order” presumes has no source there from which to flow. A coordinator does not, by letter or by the vote of a county group, unseat the People’s elected official — and no higher hand makes it otherwise.

Nor could such authority come from the county. A county is a subdivision of the State and has no existence apart from it; that which depends upon the State for its very being cannot stand in authority over it. A body on Clark County, acting alone, has nothing to confer over the State Assembly from which it derives.

We add, only that the record may be complete, that we have no instrument from the Federation establishing your position as an appointed coordinator. The single notice we have received concerning you was a Continental Marshals Service vetting notice. We set this down to show the claim unsupported even on its own terms — not because any such appointment, had it been made, could have carried the authority your “Order” presumes.

Even the communication repeatedly cited as authority for this course — an email said to have come from the Federation’s principal directing that these matters be “clarified” — has never been produced to the standing Assembly. But the want of that document is the least of its defects: an authorization that cannot be shown, and that could confer nothing if it were, is no authorization at all.

5. The Assembly extended every correction its framework provides; each was refused.

This is not a new dispute, and the record is its own witness:

- On February 10, 2025, The Nevada Assembly voted — 20 to 12, one abstaining — to remove Denise Mraz from Assembly business until she was properly vetted at the State level, the only vetting the Assembly recognizes. Her role sought access to members’ private, personally identifiable information, and the Assembly held that such access

requires State-level vetting first. Clark County disregarded the vote, and Ms. Mraz continued.

- On February 27, 2025, applying the evaluation criteria set out in Anna Riezinger’s own “A Message to All State Assemblies,” the Vetting Committee unanimously disapproved Rockie Roper as Clark County Coordinator. He met the eligibility test; the disapproval rested on conflicts of interest in his own conduct — his support for repeated attacks on the State Coordinator, his disregard of Clark County members’ rights of free speech and self-governance, and his shielding of Denise Mraz in roles for which she had never been vetted. Even so, the Committee did not close the door: it extended a four-week course-correction window with enumerated steps — among them, return all Assembly records and assets, shut down the unauthorized Clark County office, cease the closed “State”-level meetings, stop issuing notices in the Assembly’s name, and restore control of the Assembly’s websites. The Assembly thus named, in writing and sixteen months before this dispute, the very conduct now at issue — and offered the way back. It went unanswered.
- On April 26, 2025, the Oversight Committee found that Rockie Roper had borne false witness; on May 11, 2025, its Final Report closed the investigation with no contrary evidence produced.

Each correction was extended through the Assembly’s own committees, in the very manner the Federation’s published guidance prescribes — correct first, then time out those who will not operate within the work. Each was refused. Having declined the Assembly’s authority over themselves at every turn, this group cannot now invoke that same authority against a sitting official.

6. The name and the seal belong to the People of the Assembly, by the senior recorded instrument.

“The Nevada Assembly,” and every derivative — expressly including “The Nevada Assembly Unincorporated” — are owned by “a body of living men and women,” the People of the Assembly, under the Certificate of Assumed Name notarized December 26, 2020, with rights from October 31, 1864, and non-transferable by any coordinator or official. An independent registrar has already ruled the faction’s domain infringing under that instrument. A later vote cannot reach back over a recorded instrument years its senior, and a body with no standing can neither grant, withhold, nor condition the standing Assembly’s use of its own seal.

7. The asserted “conflict of interest” fails on the Federation’s own framework.

Vetting applies to a candidate at election — which closed in the Militia Commander’s favor in 2025. Status correction denies any conflict of interest, and an ordinary outside occupation is a personal burden carried by the individual, not a disqualification imposed by the Assembly. It is well established that lawful personal employment, standing alone, is no violation, no infraction, and no ground for concern.¹ And no violation is alleged here in any event — only that a

¹It is well established within the Assembly’s own framework that lawful personal employment is not, in itself, a disqualification. See Anna Von Reitz, *Clarifications for Assemblies*, annavonreitz.com/clarificationsforassemblies.pdf (conflict-of-interest vetting applies to candidates for office, not to membership); *The Jural Assembly Handbook*, annavonreitz.com/documents/juralassemblyhandbook.pdf (status correction “denies any conflict of interest”).

“concern” has been expressed; a concern, unaccompanied by any charge or any breach of the Assembly’s own standards, is not a ground for unseating an elected official. The full answer is set out in the Assembly’s prior correspondence and need not be repeated here.

8. The office, and the purpose of this notice.

A word on the office itself, since it has been raised. The Militia is not an optional feature of a State Assembly but a structural part of one — the People themselves in their protective capacity — grounded in the militia clauses of the Constitution and the Second Amendment, and in the State Assembly Militia framework the Federation itself set out. Its charge is protective and broad: the common defense of the People on Nevada — their safety, their lives, and their property — in emergency and disaster, in mutual aid, and against threats to the body and its jurisdiction from without and from within. It works in support of the lawful peace officers of the counties, never in their place; it is not a police force, holds no law-enforcement office, and asserts no authority over any person’s private life or lawful livelihood. Those who serve the Assembly do so as volunteer officials of the People — unpaid, holding no commission, no badge, and no enforcement power over anyone — not as officers of any law-enforcement kind. To style this office “law enforcement” is to invert what it is.

Within that same protective charge falls the defense of the Assembly’s own standing, instruments, and name. A notice that the Assembly’s materials, seal, and name are not to be used by those who are not the Assembly — and that they cease holding themselves out as The Nevada Assembly — is therefore no step outside the Militia’s province. It is among the very reasons the office exists.

9. A note for the record, on the framework we all profess.

We take the Federation and its service bodies at their word. Their declarations on this point are plain and on the record:

- *The Peacekeeping Task Force, in its own published clarification of its role and scope (May 13, 2026), states that it “is not an oversight body” and “does not command, direct, or supervise State of the Union or Union State operations.” It describes itself as formed “to network across these parallel structures — not to merge them, not to override them, not to maintain oversight.”*²
- *The Federation’s own Our Peacekeepers describes that same body’s charge: “PKTF’s job is to network the various levels of Peacekeepers together, interface with and educate new Peacekeepers and Law Enforcement Officers, encourage cooperation and when necessary, enable joint operations.”*³
- *The Federation’s own service guide states that it asks only for “the opportunity to advocate.”*⁴

²Peacekeeping Task Force, “Clarifying the Role and Scope of the Peacekeeping Task Force,” May 13, 2026. seeksearchfindtruth.blogspot.com/2026/05/clarifying-role-and-scope-of.html

³Anna Von Reitz, *Our Peacekeepers*. annavonreitz.com/ourpeacekeepers.pdf

⁴Peacekeeping Task Force, *Liaison Service Project Reference Guide*, §6.4.

- The Federation’s principal has written that conflicts of interest are a member’s personal burden, and that the corrective for those who will not operate within the restoration runs from the standing State Assembly outward.

If those declarations hold — and we proceed on the understanding that they do — then no county body and no coordinator possesses authority over a standing State Assembly or its elected officials, and the votes of June 27 and the “Order” of June 28 are, by the Federation’s own stated principles, without effect. We are confident the Federation will regard them so.

We would add only this, plainly, and then let it rest. A self-governing county, acting alone, cannot confer upon itself authority over the State body from which it derives. The standing Assembly placed this very conflict before Federation-level leadership, in writing, in April 2025, and asked that it be examined. We note only for completeness that the one documented response since — on the June 4, 2026 coordinator call — was not a correction of this course but approval of it. We state these facts as they stand and rest on them.

10. The records demand of June 30 is declined.

The Assembly’s records are held by the Assembly and are available to its members through the Assembly’s normal channels. No party outside the Assembly holds authority to compel them. The handover demanded for June 30 is declined.

11. The Assembly continues its work.

This letter is given for the record. The Nevada Assembly reserves all of its rights, recognizes none of the acts of June 27 or June 28, and continues its lawful work without interruption.

The door to the Assembly’s work, conducted within the Assembly’s own process, has never been closed to any member on Clark County, and it is not closed now. What the Assembly cannot recognize is authority asserted from outside the Assembly over the Assembly. On that, and only that, this response is given.

Respectfully, for the record,



Michael T. Wilson

Militia Commander, The Nevada Assembly



Louis Moglia

Chief Justice, The Nevada Assembly