

Vetting Report: William Rockie Roper

by: Eric Lance Hyer
February 28th, 2025

*Please see my comments in red:

Timeline of events-

- 1) Vetting committee was call together to meet and discuss the Clark County Coordinator nomination by you.
- 2) Sue compiled multiple files for the committee to review. These files came from many ASN's and ASC's, recordings, documents from Clark County (CC).
- 3) The Vetting Committee met and discussed these items and after the discussion voted. The vote was unanimous to "Dis-Approve"
- 4) The committee did decide to allowing an opportunity for course correction and discussed those actions.
- 5) Committee agreed to individually contribute to the course corrections actions and have course correction completed by that Wednesday.
- 6) The committee members all responded with ideas and those ideas were shared except for you. You as a self-governing man made a choice this issue was not important enough to complete in a timely manner. We are all super busy, but the rest of the committee took time away from their day to respond.
- 7) The following day the letter was sent to Rockie to inform him of the Vetting Committee's unanimous decision.
- 8) Rockie choose to share the information with Denise and then took her direction not to respond yes or no but to start another battle. Rockie shared this information with additional members of Clark County.

Your phone call to Sue was unacceptable! Sue followed all procedures of the vetting committee. You owe her an apology for your tone and what you said. I tried calling you back on her phone to discuss this and you did not answer. You stated you wanted to talk to Rockie before the information was sent out. Not sure that follows procedure anyway. We had a vote and acknowledged Course Correction was a fair option. You reaching out to anyone at that point makes no sense at all. Were you afraid of what Denise and Rockie would do or say for you doing the right thing? Maybe you should call your buddy and guide him through the corrective actions and get him on track. We had all agreed that if he course corrected we would reconsider and re-vote.

When asked to participate in the vetting for Rockie, there were three concerns that I had. This report will detail these concerns and the findings for each. The vetting process has been a difficult but healthy learning experience for me. As such, I want to share and discuss an additional three concerns I have about the process itself. Finally, I will conclude with my recommendation.

Before diving into the concerns I had about Rockie, I want to express my gratitude to both Rockie and Denise Mraz for inviting me to observe their Clark County meetings. This is exactly the kind of transparency that we need more of! Thank you both for the warm invitations.

My first concern was regarding the attendance of people to official business meetings that have not completed their paperwork. Unfortunately, I observed this in the Clark County official business meeting held on Tuesday, February 18th, 2025. In my opinion, only ASNs and ASCs should be attending these types of meetings. Yesterday, February 27th, I finally had a chance to discuss my concerns with Rockie and this was one of them. He agreed with my stance that only ASNs and ASCs should have been in attendance and agreed to watch out for this going forward. In my opinion, I do not believe this was intentional. Bobby, ASN on Nevada, and for the record NOT a PKTF Liaison, per Chief Joy Hayes, said Nye county non-papered up persons were invited by Denise and Rockie to attend the "Business Meeting". As a County Coordinator Rockie should know how to run a Business meeting verse a general meeting. Furthermore, I am at present satisfied with his response to this concern.

Eric you should be very concerned and not "satisfied" any longer. Read the March 3rd special purpose meeting notes. Where you at the meeting or attending by phone? when CC is asking if they should hold a state meeting every Wednesday Rockie should know that CC is not the State and that is not giving the people a voice. Rockie should be following all the State procedures as it relates to elections, vetting, and

nominations for State positions.

My second concern was regarding the election of Shallon Bent (of Nye County) to the position of Militia Commander. Unfortunately, I observed this in the Clark County official business meeting held on Sunday, February 23rd, 2025. **It was your responsibility to question that nomination at the time it was taking place as I did at the Jan. 26th meeting in Clark County. I simply raised my hand and asked questions, which I was crucified by Denise for interruption.** In my opinion, this is a state-level position that must be filled by the Nevada Assembly. Clark County has no business electing anyone for state level positions, as this would disenfranchise the people of other counties. **Once again, Rockie should have know this was not the proper way to hold an election. My guess is they did not allow anyone else not at the meeting a chance to vote either. This is violating the peoples rights. Rockie has been educated on this point many times.** During my call with Rockie yesterday, he seemed to have since then already figured this out. He agreed with my stance that this election is null and void by stating that this indeed must instead happen within one of our regularly held Nevada land jurisdiction state-wide assembly meetings, where ASNs and ASCs from all counties are able to participate. His response was immediate, without hesitation and reassuring. As such, I am at present satisfied with his response to this concern. **The latest 3/3/25 meeting shows that Rockie has not correct this point as he let Aaron Lucey tell the group Shaillon had been voted on by the state, which is not true.**

My final concern was regarding the doxxing of fellow assembly members (including our Nevada Assembly Coordinator!) on Clark County's new web site: <https://www.theccnvassembly.org> When I asked Rockie about this in our call yesterday, he claimed that this was done by Denise Mraz, who finances and controls this web site. He went on to say that upon learning about this, that he then asked her to remove this content and that it has since been removed. I went to the web site to check for myself and am unable to find any doxxing. I believe that it has indeed been removed. **Eric, Rockie has been asked to remove this "doxing" or better said by the Bivens decision many times by me. The inflammatory rhetoric is still on the website as of 4:23 pm 3/4/2025. There is also false statements in this "announcement" Stating "Please note until the State and County are stood up (positions filled on Committees), we cannot receive the funds to continue self-governance." This is a blatant lie made by Denise (you knew this) and it never even was reviewed by Roockie. or maybe he knows and is spreading this lie as Denise did about my comment at that meeting.**

Of my three concerns, this last one is in my opinion the most serious. Over the last several weeks I have observed extreme hostility between Denise Mraz and other Nevada Assembly members including our coordinator, Sue Hulsebus. **Sue and I are doing our job to protect the rights on the men and women of Nevada and Clark County.** This has alienated Denise from many ASNs and ASCs across Nevada, even those not directly involved in conflict with her. In their minds, her lust for conflict, viscous attacks and threats to people that disagree with her overshadow the immense contribution that she brings - so much so that they overwhelmingly voted to suspend her activities within the land jurisdiction state-level assembly. I personally abstained from this vote. **Is Denise acting in honor? I don't think so and as a matter of fact I know so. We do not give someone a pass because they subvert the process the State and Federation (Anna) have set forth because she is a "go getter" or bringing "immense Contribution"**

I suppose that I have a soft spot for Denise. Her arrival has marked an end to years of stagnation. I find her to be a highly motivated and passionate woman that is effective at getting things done quickly. I have always been results-oriented and appreciate her directness. I believe that her divisive tactics and general need for conflict stem from character flaws that she is working on. **Unfortunately Eric, she is not working on her flaws...demanding the State Coordinator and Marshall-at-Arms step down from their position, going after Mark for not releasing passwords, calling Jill and demanding all the vetting forms for whoever is a vetting committee member including you, going to Bobby Ceirillo and talking to him almost daily for the past month, Filling Bobbie's head with lies. Running a website and an office when she herself has not been vetted. Who knows were the State money is and why does she hold the passwords for Craig's information. Once again, she is not vetted. This woman has**

come in and in 3-4 months destroyed the Assembly with Roockie riding her coat tails or using Denise's words "hide behind the skirts of the messenger". If you think she is not driving the Denise and Roockie show, simply read her response telling Rockie what to do in regards to the vetting response that was sent to him. We are all working on ourselves and no-one is perfect. I wish her continued progress in this area and choose to focus on her many strengths.

That being said, there are people in our assembly who believe her viscous attacks on others to be part of a calculated, intentional conspiracy to capture our entire state starting with Clark County –

Bottomline-Read the 3.3.25 special purpose meeting notes. Again, Denise is attacking me with lies. She lied about the vote. She stated no other person in the room was non-CC and there was no one who was not and ASN or ASC except me. WHAT THE HECK! The two people sitting behind me were from Nye County and the two people sitting next to me were not ASN or ASC's. There was another person in the room who spoke and identified himself as wanting to sign up but had not done his paperwork. Denise spoke to him at that time trying to get his information so she could get him signed up. Aaron Lucey said he was going to sign him up after the meeting as the two had already discussed. Denise has no problem slinging lie after lie.

The vetting committee report was kept private and only sent to Rockie and the Vetting Committee. It was not shared to anyone else. Rockie sent it to all the members of CC. His response to the committee was not yes I agree or not I don't agree it was I am going to file a criminal complaint against all the vetting members for "Slander". Go right ahead Rockie...We sent this report, with course corrective terms to you alone. Rockie made it public.

Everyone wants to say there is hostility and attacks on Rockie and Denise. My job is to make sure meetings are safe and we all have the job to make sure every man and woman standing on the land and soil have an opportunity to vote, have a say. I have the ability to take the ASN or ASC's who do not follow Anna's teachings and disrupt the positive path forward and put them on timeout. I did not do that with Denise or Rockie. The State assembly voted to put Denise on a timeout. She did not follow the people's wishes and Rockie has supported her in breaking the will of the people. That alone should disqualify him from being Coordinator of Clark County let alone the events that have happened since your response. Maybe you should review your response and update it to reflect what Rockie has let happen on his watch in the days since you sent this foolish letter. Rockie is making you look like a fool or worse, you are complicate in this debacle.

Enough said...I will not waste my time with the rest of this nonsense below. It is the same cover your butt stuff.

that she is knowingly working with FBI or other LEOs to create chaos within our state assembly, perhaps to have some criminal record cleared or for other personal gain. These are very serious allegations, but I recognize that they are not entirely unfounded. I have seen plenty of circumstantial evidence to support this theory.

I must admit that for many months I ignored warnings from others about David Brutsche. I had a soft spot for him too and turned out to be completely wrong. Ultimately, I came to learn with certainty that he was indeed working with LEOs to infiltrate our assembly. He put all of us in danger – more so than many will ever know. I am very grateful to our Marshall at Arms, Doug Hulsebus, who aptly handled the situation. To see him doxxed on Clark County's web site saddens me. Many thanks to Rockie for correcting this.

With all of the drama going on between Denise and others, I believe that the vetting committee has partially lost objectivity. Rocky has been attacked (at least passively) by Sue and perhaps others for not enforcing what they perceive to be Denise's suspension from assembly participation at both levels, state and county. In my opinion, any suspension from Clark County level participation must be done by Clark County's assembly and that the existing suspension only applies to the land jurisdiction of Nevada where the vote actually occurred. I recognize that others may have a different opinion, but I feel that we all need to remain objective about the issue without letting it corrupt the vetting process. I believe that the drama revolving around Denise has unfortunately had an impact on Rockie's vetting. As such, I would like to mention some concerns that I have about it.

My first concern regarding the vetting process is lack of participation. Although Michelle Schmidt

is a member of the vetting committee, she was not invited to participate. When the vetting committee met on Sunday, February 23rd, I informed all members that I had observed Michelle actively participating in Clark County business meetings. Despite this, she was not contacted or invited to participate in Rockie's vetting as she was assumed to be inactive. In my opinion, we are unable to deliver our recommendation without including her findings.

My second concern regarding the vetting process is objectivity. While Michelle Schmidt has avoided involvement in the conflicts surrounding Denise Mraz, Sue Hulsebus remains directly involved. She has stated to me that she believes Denise to be running Clark County through her proxy, Rockie. I see this to indicate a conflict of interest. Knowingly and intentionally excluding Michelle Schmidt makes this conflict of interest even clearer, at least to me anyway. In my opinion, it would have been better if she had recused herself from Rockie's vetting.

My final concern regarding the vetting process is the haste in which the recommendation was delivered. When the vetting committee met last Sunday, February 23rd, the other attending members expressed great concern about the doxxing issue previously mentioned. I agreed that if Rockie was both aware of this and complicit that this would disqualify him. In my opinion, posting of inflammatory rhetoric online and/or doxxing of other assembly members must remain strictly forbidden. We must be able to maintain and show respect toward those that we disagree with. We agreed to gather our thoughts in written form and to deliver them to Sue by Wednesday, February 26th. Initially, Sue suggested that we take a week for this but I said that a couple of days should suffice and that by Wednesday should be enough time – a suggestion that I would come to regret.

I really wanted to connect with Rockie first before delivering my prose and ultimately my final recommendation. While I had agreed that the doxxing issue would disqualify Rockie if proven true, I required at least a chance to review the web site for myself and also a chance to have a phone conversation with Rockie to ask him about all three of my concerns. As it came to be, both Rockie and myself were busy as could be on both Monday and Tuesday, missing each other's calls without any chance to talk. Wednesday came and I was still unprepared so I explicitly wrote the entire committee requesting more time. Unfortunately, my request was ignored and the committee's recommendation was delivered without my input. I recognize that I must take responsibility for late delivery. However, sending out the committee's recommendation without my input greatly disappointed me. I immediately contacted Sue and she agreed to send a follow up mail with my input so that I can be included. It is my wish that this document be attached to the committee's recommendation as an addendum.

In conclusion, I believe that this vetting process has proven to be a valuable learning experience for me and hopefully for other's as well. Through my own observation of Rockie's leadership of two Clark County meetings; and through my own interrogation of Rockie on the phone yesterday involving several difficult subjects; I have decided to express my support for Rockie. I have great respect for the other Vetting Committee members and have tried to find reasons that would disqualify him in order to satisfy consensus. However, the closer I look, the more I see a fair and just leader capable of thinking for himself. I dismiss the allegations that Denise is somehow running the Clark County assembly from the background. Even if Denise is an infiltrator, I believe that Rockie is capable of dealing with that admittedly difficult challenge. I believe that he needs our trust and support. I recommend to the state assembly and to other county assemblies that we work together in cooperation and that we stop attacking each other. To all parties doing the attacking, please calm yourselves and focus on each other's strengths. Rockie has expressed what I believe to be a sincere desire to work together across counties to achieve our common goal of standing up both our counties and our state. As Nye County Coordinator, I look forward to working with him and encourage the other coordinators to do the same.

by: Eric Lance Hyer©

by: Eric Lance Hyer©

A living man on the land and soil
Nye County Coordinator
The Nevada Assembly
The United States of America (unincorporated)